

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 07/26/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/001,968	12/05/2001	Toshihiro Tatsumi	60188-122	1394
7590 07/26/2005		EXAMINER		
Jack Q. Lever, Jr. McDERMOTT, WILL & EMERY			HALIYUR, VENKATESH N	
600 Thirteenth Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20005-3096			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2664	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	A HAi N	Amalia and/a)				
	Application No. 10/001,968	Applicant(s) ' TATSUMI, TOSHIHIRO				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
•	Venkatesh Haliyur	2664				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app						
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed /s will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12/05	<u>5/2001</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers	•					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on <u>12/05/2001</u> is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	pjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
•	priority under 35 U.S.C. & 110/a	(d) or (f)				
12) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1.区 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
·						
Attachment(s)		· (DTO 442)				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal I	Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/5/01.	6)	- 				

Art Unit: 2664

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-11 have been examined.

Priority

Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(e) based upon an application 2001-115202 filed in Japan on 04/13/2001.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-9,11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, the meaning of "logically or physically" do not clearly define with a reasonable degree of particularity, clarity and distinctness how an annular path is determined during a transmission-path connection and disconnection process when one of the communication nodes located at both ends of the transmission path, logically makes the transmission path unavailable in order to prevent formation of the annular when a physical path is not present.

Art Unit: 2664

In claim 6 the meaning of "logically or physically" does not clearly define with a reasonable degree of particularity, clarity and distinctness for the purposes of how the step of logically making an attribute of a port forming the added transmission path unavailable by one of the communication nodes located at both ends of the added transmission path when a physical path is not present.

In claim 7 the phrases "an arbitrary transmission path is eliminated" and "logically or physically" do not clearly define with a reasonable degree of particularity, clarity and distinctness how the unavailable transmission path logically becomes available when the physical path is eliminated.

In claim 8 the phrase "The determining node transmits a confirmation signal through the unavailable transmission path" does not clearly define with a reasonable degree of particularity, clarity and distinctness how a confirmation signal transmission can be made through a logically or physically unavailable path to determine annular path.

In claim 11 the phrases "an arbitrary transmission path is eliminated" and "logically or physically" and "the communication node transmits a confirmation signal through the unavailable transmission path" do not clearly define with a reasonable degree of particularity, clarity and distinctness how a confirmation signal transmission can be made through a "logically or physically" unavailable path to determine the annular path.

Art Unit: 2664

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hauck et al [US Pat 6,628,607] in view of McDonnell [US Pat 6,778,506].

Regarding claims 1,2,6,7 Hauck et al disclosed a "Method and Apparatus for Loop Breaking on a Serial Bus" using one of the communication nodes or ports located at both ends of a transmission path to detect and remove loops when a communication link is either added or disconnected to a serial bus or between devices connected to a network [Column 2,lines 26-45, Column 4, lines 8-62, Column 5 lines 3-29].

But, Hauck et al fails to disclose a method that makes the added logical transmission path unavailable when logical loops are detected.

However, McDonnell et al disclosed in their invention, "Loop prevention in Networks" a method to establish logical connections without loops or to remove logical links when a logical loop was detected [Column 1, lines 37-44].

Therefore it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to use the teachings of McDonnell et al to detect and remove logical loops in the

Art Unit: 2664

method of Hauck et al for the determination and prevention of loops or annular paths for topology correction in a network with plurality of communication nodes.

Regarding claim 3, Hauck et al disclosed a method to designate another port [Column 7, lines 39-52] for the determination of loops in the event the previously operational port becomes inoperative. One of the reasons for a node to be inoperative can be loss of power and it becomes operational when the power is turned on.

Regarding claims 4,8,10,11 Hauck et al disclosed a method to detect loop by sending a loop test packet from a first port or node to which the transmission link is connected and listening for the receipt of the loop test packet at a second port or node of another transmission link. A loop determination is made If the test packet was received through another transmission path [Column 6, lines 35-56].

Regarding claim 5,9 Hauck et al disclosed a method for the receipt of the loop test packet at a second port with in the expiration of the period of time and receipt of a packet after the expiration of the time period to determine if a loop exists or not [Column 7, lines 8-16].

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The prior art in reference here are Hauck et al and McDonnell et al.

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 10/001,968

Art Unit: 2664

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications should be directed to the attention to Venkatesh Haliyur whose phone number is 571-272-8616. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:00AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wellington Chin can be reached @ (571)-272-3134.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is (571)-272-2600 or fax to 571-273-8300.

6. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197(toll-free).

Ajit Patel
Primary Examiner